

1 **Final Minutes**
2 **Joint Meeting of Aurora & AASHTO Winter Maintenance Technical Service Program**
3 **Wednesday, December 5, 2007**
4 **Crowne Plaza Hotel at Union Station**
5 **Indianapolis, IN**
6

7 **Aurora Attendees**

8
9 Max Perchanok, Chair, Ontario MOT
10 Mike Adams, WI DOT
11 Chris Albrecht, ISU/CTRE
12 Kirk Carpenter, IN DOT
13 Diana Clonch, OH DOT
14 Joe Doherty, NYSDOT
15 Tina Greenfield, IA DOT
16 Dawn Gustafson, MI DOT
17 Bill Hoffman, NV DOT
18 Dean Kernan, IL DOT
19 Mike Kisse, ND DOT
20 Curt Pape, MN DOT
21 Ralph Patterson, UT DOT
22 Kevin Petty, NCAR
23 Dan Roosevelt, VA DOT
24 Lee Smithson, AASHTO
25 Jack Stickel, AK DOT&PF
26 Jeff Tilley, UND
27

8 **WMTSP Attendees**

Rick Nelson, Chair, NVDOT
John Burkhardt, IN DOT, TRB rep
Dennis Burkheimer, IA DOT
Bret Hodne, WDSM, IA, APWA rep
Bill Hoffman, NV DOT, HSCOM rep
Mike Lashmet, NYSDOT
Wilf Nixon, U of IA
Greg Parker, IA Co Engr, NACE rep
Paul Pisano, FHWA
Dan Roosevelt, VA DOT
Lee Smithson, AASHTO

28 **Guests**

Dennis Belter, Chair, Clear Roads, IN DOT
Bill Hyman, SHRP 2
Tom Maze, ISU/CTRE
Charles Meyer, AASHTO

28 Rick Nelson opened the meeting with introductions and a review of the agenda.
29

30 **SHRP 2 PROGRAM OVERVIEW**

31
32 Bill Hyman, Senior Program Officer, SHRP 2 TRB, made a power point presentation,
33 “Relationship of Reliability and Other Research Programs to Weather”. The purpose of the
34 presentation was to acquaint Aurora and WMTSP members with the SHRP 2 program and for
35 Bill to understand the Aurora and WMTSP programs. Bill provided an overview of the SHRP 2
36 program, its governance, structure, described the four focus areas of Safety, Renewal, Capacity,
37 and Reliability, projects under contract, and those being developed. Bill spent time on
38 Reliability Performance Measures, travel time index, planning time index, buffer time and buffer
39 index. Questions from the audience concerned how weather and the messages were being used
40 for snow conditions. One of the members of the Clear Roads Consortium explained a project
41 they had underway called “Ice and Snow, Take It Slow”, where the emphasis is slow down and
42 travel more safely. Bill discussed a graph showing “Effects of Incidents and Weather on
43 Reliability” for Route 520 in Seattle.
44 He then discussed the Research Program focused on reliability. There were four themes: 1).
45 Data, metrics, analysis and decision support; 2) Institutional change, human behavior and
46 resources needs; 3) Incorporating reliability in planning, programming and design; and, 4) Future

1 needs and opportunities. Bill also discussed Safety, Renewal and Capacity. During the
2 discussion of the focus areas it was apparent that there was a need to emphasize the importance
3 that atmospheric and road weather have on each of the areas. A copy of Bill's power point is
4 attached to these minutes. More detailed program briefs can be found at
5 <http://www.trb.org/shrp2> .
6

7 **REVIEW AND EDITING OF THE NATIONAL PEER EXCHANGE RESEARCH** 8 **STATEMENTS** 9

10 The remainder of the meeting was spent in a group discussion of each of the 27 research
11 needs statements that were shown in the draft of the "2007 National Winter Maintenance
12 Peer Exchange Final Report". These 27 research needs statements were a consolidation
13 of the 70 one line statements that were recorded during the focus group discussions at the
14 Peer Exchange. A preliminary title is shown in bold face all capital letters, then several
15 one line statements or questions appear under the preliminary title. These one line
16 statements or questions were taken verbatim from the recorders meeting notes. These
17 minutes attempt to capture the various points of discussion that will aid in the
18 development of a comprehensive research problem statement.
19

20 **1. GUIDELINES FOR ANTI-ICING AND DEICING**

21 How to determine the proper timing and frequency of anti-icing and deicing?
22 Develop anti-icing, deicing and pre-wetting implementation guidelines
23 Are the FHWA TE-28 anti-icing guidelines accurate, appropriate, effective?
24

25 The discussion began by asking is this a technology transfer problem or a research
26 problem? Wilf Nixon believes even though there may be a few details that could be
27 improved upon, there is generally sufficient research on the subject for an agency to
28 implement an anti-icing program. It is mostly a matter of education and the willingness
29 of the agency to change. Perhaps developing a Best Method Practice process would add
30 more credibility and acceptance. There is also some reluctance to try new methods
31 because of the legal implications, especially if something fails, so that needs to be
32 considered. Some do not understand that the TE 28 application rates are for anti-icing
33 conditions and were not intended for deicing. In deicing where the road condition has an
34 ice/pavement bond, the NCHRP Report 529 provides the guidance for more appropriate
35 treatment strategies. Several people commented that while the current research covers
36 most situations, there are some conditions that aren't covered in the storm scenarios and
37 more research to increase the number of weather events would be helpful as well as add
38 value to the basic research. Dan Roosevelt feels the rest of the country is counting on
39 organizations like Aurora, Clear Roads, TRB and WMTSP to provide the needed
40 guidelines and technical assistance and to get anti-icing into standard practice. He felt we
41 needed to look at and appreciate the lack of knowledge we see reflected in these three
42 statements and determine what needs to be done to fill that void. Dan would like to see
43 us concentrate on the top five research problem statements, do them well and see if there
44 is time and resources available to then start on the remaining ones. Dean Kernan feels his
45 people need both the best practice guidance and help in selecting the correct chemical.
46 Wilf Nixon feels perhaps we need to develop a step by step program for people to follow.

1 He sees merit with the Iowa DOT approach which uses a set of laminated cards in the cab
2 of each truck. Mike Kisse pointed out that implementing anti-icing also brings a problem
3 that needs attention which is being able to purchase the equipment to do the step by step
4 program. Bret Hodne has asked field folks why they aren't using the anti-icing
5 technologies, and finds that just changing overwhelms them (the needed training, new
6 equipment, doing things differently, and additional facilities, etc). Rick commented that
7 MDSS does an excellent job with the technical side by pulling all the sciences together.
8 Paul Pisano discussed the value from the lessons learned last winter from the Maine
9 study. While the MDSS did a good job of putting the sciences together, those sciences
10 did not cover all situations. We are learning more as additional field evaluations are
11 analyzed, and as new chemicals and equipment become available. Updates and revisions
12 will be the name of the game to optimization. Mike Adams feels another project is
13 needed to study the barriers to implementation. Wilf discussed some the weaknesses of
14 MDSS that must be investigated and the science deepened so that the treatment strategies
15 can be modified. Paul feels that the "Maine Lessons Learned" will help guide the
16 process. There was general feeling that this problem is much broader than what Clear
17 Roads should be expected to handle and the project should be assigned to SICOP. Rick
18 Nelson agreed that SICOP would take the lead on this project. He felt we should go to
19 agencies that claim they can't get the desired results and learn why. There might be
20 problems with the treatment strategies or it may be something else in the system, but we
21 need to understand the problem before trying to come up with the solutions. Bret pointed
22 out when he finds a problem he also finds they don't understand dilution curves and other
23 basics of anti-icing. Jack Stickel suggested that the Rural ITS scheduled for September
24 2008 would be a good place to put in a workshop since they will have a varied audience
25 of state and local governments participating. Rick Nelson wrapped up discussion on this
26 project by summarizing that SICOP would take the lead, this would likely be an
27 overarching project resulting in the need for other more specialized projects that might
28 end up coming back to Clear Roads or Aurora for help.
29
30

31 **2. STAFFING**

32 Synthesis of unconventional staffing strategies to meet increasing demands

33 Developing Tools for Outreach

34 Meeting increasing training challenges

35 Synthesis of retaining trained personnel

36 Synthesis of innovative methods to compete with industry

37
38 John Burkhardt gave a summary of the projects and asked for any other additions that
39 need to be considered. Diana Clonch points out that these are reoccurring issues with
40 both state and local governments. The only staffing guidelines she has found are in the
41 SICOP Snow and Ice Guide. Rick Nelson pointed out that downsizing and fleet
42 reductions are moving government to outsourcing to help fill the gaps during
43 emergencies and storms. Bret Hodne feels that local governments need data to show how
44 they compare with other agencies and be sure their needs are in line with other public
45 agencies. The project fits well into a synthesis project and fits into two Transportation
46 Research Board (TRB) Maintenance Committees missions and scopes. The synthesis

1 needs to also consider what type of post high school or college career path training is
2 needed. Testing is an important part of the training program. It would be helpful for
3 maintenance personnel to know what percentage of typical maintenance budget dollar
4 and time allocation gets put into the training effort so that they can compare to others.
5 Pay is also a consideration to be able to attract both permanent and temporary personnel.
6 How to keep the people will be an important item to include. Are there data on how
7 much it costs to bring people on board, get them trained, only to loose them in six months
8 or a year? How does pay compare with others in the region? The synthesis needs to also
9 look at how to provide training to contractor personnel if the work is outsourced. Max
10 Perchanok felt separate synthesis are needed, one for staffing and the other for training.
11 There is a responsibility to keep the others informed as the research problem statement
12 gets developed so more than just one agency is listed on the funding request to help
13 emphasize the importance of the project. John summarized the discussion by agreeing
14 that the TRB Winter Maintenance Committee (AHD65) which he chairs would take the
15 lead on this project. He and Lee Smithson will collaborate with and involve the TRB
16 Committee Maintenance and Operations Personnel (AHD15) in the development of this
17 project. The Winter Maintenance Committee Scope addresses the issues of the training
18 needs of snow-fighting personnel, performance measures, and level of service. The
19 Maintenance and Operations Personnel Committee’s Scope addresses, “...personnel
20 policies of the various transportation organizations relative to maintenance and
21 operations; the salaries and wages of positions in such groups; and the selection and
22 training of maintenance and operations personnel.”
23

24 **3. LOS DETERMINATION**

25 Road prioritization formula for winter maintenance LOS
26 Case studies on ensuring consistency in winter maintenance practice across state borders
27 Establish seamless boundaries for winter information across states
28 Develop a national LOS to better transition motorists across boundaries without sudden
29 change in conditions
30 FHWA should develop pilot or demonstration projects of seamless operations. This
31 would include LOS, winter messages, RWIS, and other technologies.
32 Develop a road prioritization formula to determine LOS and see if it can be used
33 nationwide.
34

35 Discussion began on this project noting there were two NCHRP projects going on which
36 might have some applicability and guidance so need to be considered to avoid duplicative
37 efforts. The NCHRP Project 06-17, “Performance Measures for Snow and Ice Control
38 Operations” is finished and the draft report is being reviewed. Also NCHRP 20-74A,
39 “Development of Service Levels for the Interstate Highway System” will begin soon.
40 The project scope does include some winter maintenance. The project includes a
41 literature review, and the various performance measures being used. The 511 Coalition
42 progress needs to be included in this process. The attendees felt this should become a
43 SICOP project. Rick Nelson agreed and felt it should be assigned to the AASHTO
44 HSCOM Snow and Ice Task Force. Bill Hoffman, Snow and Ice Task Force leader was
45 tasked to take the lead and start by calling Andy Lemer, NCHRP Project Manager and
46 request that a WMTSP member be included on the panel of the NCHRP 20-74A project.

1 One outcome should be a set of definitions for road condition description or maybe a
2 template that would include elements that a state could plug into in developing a level of
3 service.
4

5
6 **4. FUNDING**

7 Determine staffing and funding for core maintenance activities
8 Identify long-term impacts of not funding maintenance fully for summer and winter
9 activities
10 How do we establish appropriate dedicated funding levels for maintenance?
11

12 Attendees felt this project was a good fit for TRB Maintenance Committees. The
13 Maintenance and Operations Management Committee (AHD10) with a committee scope,
14 “This Committee is concerned with all aspects of managing the maintenance and
15 operations of highway transportation facilities” would be a logical first contact. John
16 Burkhardt and Lee Smithson will attend the AHD10 Committee meeting in January 2008
17 and discuss the possibilities of them taking the lead for this project. Probably need a
18 synthesis to look at states (like Washington and Kansas) who have had success in
19 convincing their management and the legislature to staff and fund the longer term
20 maintenance needs.
21

22
23 **5. COMMUNICATION WITH PUBLIC AND LEGISLATORS**

24 Develop tools to manage and communicate LOS, expectations and costs associated to
25 urban, sub-urban and rural routes
26 Best practices for balancing politics and performance
27 Synthesis of how to effectively relay and communicate winter maintenance budget’s
28 needs to upper management and legislature
29 How to most effectively communicate performance measures and associated costs to
30 internal staff, operators and stakeholders
31 Inform stakeholders of the critical activities and impacts of maintenance on daily lives
32

33 Attendees felt this project needs to be guided by public relations people to apply their
34 expertise to this research. Charles Meyer will talk to Sunny Schust, AASHTO Director of
35 Communications and Publications since she is also the AASHTO Staff to the AASHTO
36 Public Affairs Committee and see if this is a good fit.
37

38
39 **6. VEHICLE TO CENTER COMMUNICATION**

40 Seamless wireless communication for transferring data from vehicle to maintenance
41 garage
42 Development of standards for in-vehicle equipment
43 Innovative solutions for real-time vehicle-to-center data communications
44 Develop standard specifications for components and communications
45

1 Dennis Belter led the discussion on this project. Attendees felt this is more than just
2 communication, it is using the basic maintenance truck as a source of road condition
3 information, reporting current maintenance activities such as snow and ice control
4 operations, fleet location for emergency management and incident response operations,
5 etc. Minnesota has the goal equipping an area for MDSS field testing and eventually the
6 entire fleet to see where it can take them (asset management, stockpile replenishment,
7 time sheet reporting, etc). This project needs to be coordinated with the VII test
8 evaluations and MDSS. Is the cost of real time communication worth the investment?
9 The issue of communications standards should be coordinated through the NTCIP
10 meetings. Curt Pape has attended some of the NTCIP meetings says that there is a lot of
11 vendor posturing at these meetings so progress is slow. One of the vendors at the 2007
12 National Winter Maintenance Peer Exchange pointed out that Europe has common
13 standards that should be evaluated as a model in the U.S. The SICOP web site has a
14 posting of AVL being used in the U.S. The SEMSIM link also has an updated list of
15 AVL contacts. Clear Roads is similar to Aurora when RWIS was just entering the winter
16 maintenance arena, they are the voice and the advocate. Dennis Belter, Chair of Clear
17 Roads, felt that Clear Roads is the appropriate leader for this project.
18
19

20 **7. PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT**

21 Develop standardized performance measure for snow and ice

22 Develop a state winter severity index as a tool to compare materials use and costs

23 Feedback of customers' expectations on winter maintenance
24

25 John Burkhardt led the discussion for this project. The discussion began with a look at
26 work that has been done in this area. Wilf Nixon has developed a storm index, Aurora
27 has worked in this area and IN DOT is working on a winter index. TRB Winter
28 Maintenance Committee (AHD65) should take the lead for this project and include
29 Aurora in the discussion of the project. Consider moving Individual Rank #4 into the
30 LOS Determination Group Rank #3 or establishing a strong collaboration link. NCHRP
31 funded a workshop on Performance Measures. The results from that workshop did not
32 get much visibility, but might have some use in this project. Note on #4 "Develop
33 standardized performance measure for snow and ice" was #4 overall indicating it is very
34 important, so AASHTO Snow and Ice Task Force should take the lead on that. Aurora
35 can help on Individual Rank #36 and possibly find some funding. Individual Rank #59
36 should have a strong collaboration link to Group Rank #5, Communication with Public
37 and Legislators.
38
39
40
41

42 **8. WEATHER AND RWIS EDUCATION**

43 Develop more training on how to use RWIS and weather forecasting to help decision
44 making

45 Improvement for chloride sensor for integration into the decision making process

1 Develop a way to educate more forecasters about what maintenance needs in a forecast
2 and how to speak our language
3 Develop a plan for improving forecasts
4 Training for maintenance personnel to interpret forecasts
5 Training for how to use technologies
6 Education about microclimates
7

8 Max and Tina led the discussion for this project. This will be an Aurora project and
9 likely needs to have a brochure developed to cover the various offerings. FHWA has two
10 web-based training programs being developed by NOAA which will address Individual
11 Rank #47. These will be completed in early 2008. FHWA is developing a web-based
12 version of the old NHI course.
13
14

15 **9. SALINITY SENSOR**

16 Develop on-vehicle salinity sensor
17 Develop better in-pavement chemical sensor
18

19 Max and Tina led the discussion for this project. This will be an Aurora project, but the
20 on-vehicle portion of the project may need to be a joint program with Clear Roads. Dan
21 Roosevelt felt that the states should be surveyed to see if the states have an interest in
22 purchasing and using on-vehicle chemical sensors and if so, at what price and how many?
23 Aurora should determine the need, develop the specification and search for a vendor to
24 develop and sell a sensor that would not be copyrighted.
25
26

27 **10. LIGHT PRECIPITATION FORECASTING AND SENSING**

28 Improvements in sensing and forecasting of ice, freezing rain and frost conditions
29 Developing improved and affordable precipitation sensor
30 Improvements in forecasting of low-elevation weather conditions
31
32

33 Max and Tina led the discussion for this project. This will be an Aurora project. Aurora
34 already has a project 2007-04 "Development and Demonstration of a Freezing Drizzle
35 Algorithm" under way (10% complete).
36
37

38 **11. CONCEPT VEHICLE**

39 Develop next generation concept vehicle and optimized plow design
40 Optimize the ergonomics for snowplow operators
41 Determine the ideal lighting for snowplow to see and be seen
42 Optimization of the in-vehicle driver interface and how this information is presented
43

44 Dennis Belter led the discussion for this project. Clear Roads will be the lead, but there
45 is a question as to the amount of money needed for a project. There currently is some

1 funding available from the Concept Vehicle Pooled Fund that would at least get the
2 project started.
3
4

5 **12. POST STORM MEETINGS**

6 Need literature search needs to be conducted and analyzed to determine what type of
7 information is most valuable to document and share
8 Develop best practices in winter maintenance performance
9

10 Dennis Belter led the discussion for this project. This will be a Clear Roads project for a
11 literature search and synthesis. The AASHTO AI/RWIS CBT has some material on the
12 importance of the post storm meetings and items that should be considered in the
13 meetings.
14

15
16 **13. FIELD TESTING**

17
18 Build a test facility to provide objective data regarding the effectiveness of various winter
19 maintenance treatments. Need national test center which would establish a rigid set of
20 research guidelines, protocols and procedures which would make results more accurate.
21 Pursue objective testing to verify the effectiveness of innovative maintenance treatments.
22 Standardized tests for winter maintenance equipment
23

24 Rick Nelson led the discussion on this project. It will be a SICOP project. The project is
25 a commitment to continuous improvement and would imply highly instrumented facilities
26 are required. Joe Doherty believes the project should be a consortium of testing facilities
27 i.e. CRREL, Blacksburg, NDSU, WTI, etc, and building on the capabilities of the
28 existing experience and physical facilities base. Another idea that should be explored is a
29 single location to coordinate the testing with multiple partners to perform the tests and
30 evaluations. Wilf emphasized the importanace of a location that has guaranteed winter
31 weather, such as Canada. ASTM might be a business model to examine since they
32 administer many tests at multiple contractor sites. Study would begin with a survey of
33 what sites and capabilities are already available. Next we need to determine what testing
34 needs are required.
35
36

37 **14. CHEMICALS AND REFREEZE**

38 Conduct extensive laboratory and field tests on different deicing products to determine
39 under what conditions the product caused slipperiness on the roadway surface and then
40 determine optimum application rates for pre-wetting and anti-icing.
41 Investigate what factors influence refreezing on the road.
42 Investigate refreeze due to over application and some easy-to-use rules to help
43 practitioners properly use phase diagrams
44

45 Dave Wells, reported that the Pacific Northwest Snowfighters at their November 2007
46 meeting agreed to taking the lead for this project.

1
2
3 **15. CONSISTENT DESCRIPTIONS OF ROAD CONDITIONS**

4 Develop standard ratings and descriptions for road conditions
5 Develop acceptable dynamic messages for snow and ice
6

7 Rick Nelson led the discussion for this project. This will be a SICOP project. The 511
8 Coalition pooled fund met and agreed on their descriptions for use on 511. Pete Costello
9 and Jim Wright should be contacted to review what has been accomplished and what
10 needs to be done to get the various levels of government to adopt standard descriptions
11 for road conditions and dynamic messages for snow and ice related road conditions.
12

13
14 **16. COST BENEFIT FOR EQUIPMENT**

15 Develop a standard method to measure the cost/benefit of adding different components
16 like wings, guidance systems, GPS, additional sensors, etc and determining expected
17 service life of the new equipment
18 Determine the true cost of data collection and determine the pay back
19

20 Dennis Belter led the discussion for this project. This will be a Clear Roads project.
21
22

23 **17. TRAINING**

24 Develop methodologies for evaluating training efforts
25 Determine the state of the practice for using driving simulators, how are they being
26 implemented into the training system, can results be measured and is there a pay off
27

28 Dennis Belter led the discussion for this project. This will be a Clear Roads project and
29 will focus initially on the L3 driving simulators currently being used by several state
30 DOTs. So far Arizona State University has completed an evaluation project for the
31 Arizona DOT and Iowa State University has completed an evaluation project for the Iowa
32 DOT. It was suggest that the Washington DOT may also have completed a training
33 evaluation study.
34
35

36 **18. PEER EXCHANGE**

37 Is there support for another peer exchange?
38

39 Attendees of the Peer Exchange completed a feedback survey that strongly supported
40 another Peer Exchange. Attendees at the Aurora/WMTPS meeting also supported a
41 future Peer Exchange possibly in 2009 or 2010. Frequency and funding have not been
42 solved. Each consortium needs to discuss this at their next meeting and decide on
43 frequency and scope needed and the amount of funding they might be able to provide.
44 SICOP will take the lead for coordinating this effort.
45
46

1 **19. ENVIRONMENTAL**

2 Develop guidelines for BMPs to achieve attainment in areas of concern
3 Need a tool to provide or ensure funding is available to cover salt/sand stockpiles and
4 secondary containments for liquids
5

6 This project was originally assigned to Pacific Northwest Snowfighters. This project
7 needs to be coordinated with efforts currently underway at AASHTO’s Center for
8 Environmental Excellence. NCHRP 25-25, Task 29 was completed this past fall. The
9 purpose of this work was to create and test a process for identifying best practices from
10 “Environmental Stewardship Practices, Procedures, and Policies for Highway
11 Construction and Maintenance Compendium” and to establish recommended procedures
12 for updating the Compendium on an ongoing basis. Chapter 8 of the Compendium is
13 titled, “Winter Operations and Salt, Sand and Chemical Management”. AASHTO is in
14 the process of evaluating the recommendations from this NCHRP study. PNS and
15 WMTSP need to coordinate with the appropriate staff at AASHTO and collaborate on
16 how to proceed with this project.
17

18
19 **20. REDUCING CORRISION**

20 Synthesis of best practices for reducing corrosion on winter maintenance equipment and
21 use of corrosion resistant materials, coatings, etc and their cost effectiveness
22

23 Pacific Northwest Snowfighters agreed to take the lead on this project.
24

25 **21. BLADE INSERTS**

26 Investigate alternative blade inserts and determine a method to evaluate and compare
27 different blades and blade design to determine their wear
28

29 Dennis Belter led the discussion for this project. This is a Clear Roads project and will
30 be considered at their January 2008 meeting.
31

32
33 **22. CHEAP FRICTION**

34 Develop low-cost, simple friction measuring device or other methods to determine
35 slipperiness and transmit that information to users to assist in decision making
36 Pilot evaluation of virtual pavement sensors and on-board friction devices
37

38 Max Perchanok led the discussion on this project. Aurora already has a similar project
39 underway, Project 2007-02, “Cold Weather Testing of Halliday Unit” and will take the
40 lead on this project.
41

42
43 **23. COLLISION AVOIDANCE**

44 Investigate collision avoidance systems for snowplows
45

1 Dennis Belter led the discussion for this project. This will be a Clear Roads project. NV
2 DOT is exploring this technology with heads-up display, but it is very expensive.
3
4

5 **24. HIGH-DEF IMAGING/SENSING OF ROAD CONDITIONS**

6 Explore use of highly detailed satellite imagery in winter maintenance operations
7

8 Max Perchanok led the discussion on this project. This will be an Aurora project. This
9 project may have some relation to Group Rank #10 which is also an Aurora project.
10

11
12 **25. FORECAST ACCURACY**

13 Develop measures of forecast accuracy
14

15 Max led the discussion on this project. This is an Aurora project. Wilf believes there
16 should have an impact factor which would consider even if it is not accurate but does not
17 cause operational impairment versus an inaccurate forecast that does result in operational
18 problems and has a great impact.
19

20
21 **26. BOILERPLATE LEGAL LANGUAGE**

22 Develop boilerplate language for data sharing because concerns over litigation have
23 slowed down the ability of states to share data
24

25 Rick Nelson led the discussion for this project. Paul reported that Mitretek did a search
26 of state DOT web sites to determine what data they are providing. FHWA has been
27 speaking with the National Conference of State Legislature, and they are willing to do a
28 scan of current State laws regarding data sharing along with related work about data. A
29 contract has not yet been finalized, but FHWA is moving in that direction. Paul will send
30 some additional material for consideration. This will be a SICOP project.
31

32
33 **27. SNOWFENCES**

34 Best practices for snow fences, including cost benefit considerations, guidelines for
35 various types of live snow fence, understanding political challenges, etc
36

37 Dennis Belter led the discussion for this project. This will be a Clear Roads project. The
38 computer-based training program “Blowing Snow Mitigation” is nearly completed. It
39 will contain the latest blowing snow research and input from a Technical Working Group
40 that has considerable experience in living and man made snow fences and blowing snow
41 mitigation. The CBT needs to be evaluated to see if it fulfills the requirements of this
42 proposed research need statement
43

44
45 Revision date May 2, 2008